

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Khalil Amine	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,024			09/15/2003		Q201-US1	. 2546
	31815	7590 12/15/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER
	MARY EL	IZABET	H BUSH	ECHELMEYER, ALIX ELIZABETH		
	QUALLION LLC P.O. BOX 923127				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SYLMAR, CA 91392-3127			•	1745	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/663,024	AMINE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	 N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). 				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 S</u>	eptember 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	· _					
•—						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		,				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian remuirement					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		*				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received					
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document		ion No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price						
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-33, drawn to an electrochemical device, classified in class 429, subclass 188.
 - II. Claims 34-41, drawn to a method for synthesizing a cyclic polysiloxane, classified in class 528, subclass 10.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to an unrelated product and process. Product and process inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that the product cannot be used in, or made by, the process. See MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06. In the instant case, the product of Invention I, an electrochemical device, cannot be made by the process of Invention II, a method for synthesizing cyclic polysiloxane.

- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1745

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - a. The polysiloxane of the claims 8-9, represented by General Formula I.
- b. The polysiloxane of claims 10-12, represented by General Formula II.

 The species are independent or distinct because the polysiloxanes are different, and species (a) forms a liquid electrolyte while species (b) forms a solid electrolyte.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - c. The network polymer of claim 19, poly(methacrylate).
 - d. The network polymer of claim 20, represented by Formula VII.
 - e. The network polymer of claims 21-25, a polysiloxane.

The species are independent or distinct because the network polymer of each species is different.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits from the two groups, *a and b*, and *c, d and e*, to which the

Art Unit: 1745

claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 17 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1745

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer whose telephone number is 571-272-1101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Susy N. Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact-the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer Examiner Art Unit 1745

aee

SUSYTSANG-FOSTER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Anny Isang Foster